

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

<p>ADAPTIX, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>APPLE, INC., <i>et al.</i>,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 5:13-cv-01776-PSG</p> <p><b>ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS’ SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF REGARDING DEFENDANTS’ MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS’ FEES</b></p> <p><b>(Re: Docket No. 495)</b></p>
<p>ADAPTIX, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>APPLE, INC., <i>et al.</i>,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 5:13-cv-01777-PSG</p> <p><b>ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS’ SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF REGARDING DEFENDANTS’ MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS’ FEES</b></p> <p><b>(Re: Docket No. 556)</b></p>
<p>ADAPTIX, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>AT&amp;T MOBILITY LLC, <i>et al.</i>,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Defendants.</p>	<p>Case No. 5:13-cv-01778-PSG</p> <p><b>ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS’ SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF REGARDING DEFENDANTS’ MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS’ FEES</b></p> <p><b>(Re: Docket No. 472)</b></p>



ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP *d/b/a* VERIZON  
WIRELESS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:13-cv-01844-PSG

**ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS'  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF  
REGARDING DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES****(Re: Docket No. 439)**

ADAPTIX, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.


Case No. 5:13-cv-02023-PSG

**ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS'  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF  
REGARDING DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES****(Re: Docket No. 529)**

The court granted Defendants Apple Inc., AT&T Mobility LLC, Verizon Wireless and HTC Corporation leave to file a supplemental brief regarding Defendants' Motion for Attorneys' Fees.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff Adaptix, Inc. shall submit any response by Friday, July 31, 2015.

**SO ORDERED.**

Dated: July 23, 2015



PAUL S. GREWAL  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> See Case No. 13-cv-01776: Docket No. 495.